# GSM Open-source intelligence

#### Kenneth van Rijsbergen<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> MSc System and Network Engineering Faculty of Science University of Amsterdam

30 June 2016

Results

# Table of Contents

1 Introduction

#### 2 Background

#### 3 Results

#### 4 Conclusion

#### **Research question**

#### How may GSM be used for gathering OSINT by a red team?

- How can a Software Defined Radio (SDR) be used to passively capture GSM traffic ?
- How can a Software Defined Radio (SDR) be used to actively capture GSM traffic ?
- What OSINT may be extracted from this GSM data?

## Software Defined Radio

- HackRF One
  - 1 MHz to 6 GHz
  - half-duplex transceiver
  - \$299.-
- BladeRF x40
  - 300MHz to 3.8GHz
  - full-duplex transceiver
  - \$420.-





FIGURE - HackRF One

FIGURE - BladeRF x40



#### FIGURE - Waterfall (jamming test inside faraday cage)

File Edit View Go Capture Analyze St	atistics Telephony Tools In	ternals Help				
🔘 🖂 📕 🔬 🔛 🗎	x c Q ( )	¥ 7 ±		- 6 2	a 🗹 😒	× 0
These annual de l'anna			deside from			
Price: gamcap as ticmp	* Exp	ression ciear	Abbility Parke			
No. Time Source	Destination	Protocol	Length Info			
2113 103.40/02000 127.0.0.1	127.0.0.1	WINCO	01 (CCCR) (NR)	reging request	type 1	
2114 103.45933500127.0.0.1	127.0.0.1	OSMTAP	81 (CCCH) (RR)	Paging Request	Type 1	
2115 103.46672100127.0.0.1	127.0.0.1	GSMTAP	81 (CCCH) (RR)	Paging Request	Type 2	
2116 183.47158486 127.8.8.1	127.0.0.1	GSMTAP	81 (CCCH) (RR)	Paging Request	Type 1	
2117 103.52682700 127.0.0.1	127.0.0.1	GSMTAP	81 (CCCH) (RR)	Paging Request	Type 1	
2118 103.53067800127.0.0.1	127.0.0.1	GSMTAP	81 (CCCH) (RR)	Paging Request	Type 1	
2119 103.58810900127.0.0.1	127.0.0.1	GSMTAP	81 (CCCH) (RR)	Paging Request	Type 1	
2120 103.59140900 127.0.0.1	127.0.0.1	OSMTAP	81 (CCCH) (RR)	Paging Request	Type 1	
2121 103.59774900 127.0.0.1	127.0.0.1	OSMTAP	81 (CCCH) (FR)	System Informa	tion Type 4	
2122 183.65574696 127.9.9.1	127.0.0.1	GSMTAP	81 (CCCH) (BR)	Paging Request	Type 1	
2123 183.65824586 127.8.8.1	127.0.0.1	LAPOn	81 U. funcaliska	IOND		
2124 183 66262896 127 8 8 1	127.0.0.1	GSMTAP	81 (CCCH) (88)	Paging Request	Type 1	
2125 183 66615686 127 8 8 1	127.0.0.1	<b>GSMT4P</b>	81 (0000) (88)	Paging Request	Type 1	
2126 183 22351186 127 8 8 1	127 0 0 1	OSMTAR	81 (0000) (88)	Paging Request	Type 1	
2127 103 22932106 127 0 0 1	127 0 0 1	OSMTAR	81 (CCCH) (88)	Paning Request	Type 1	
2125 101 22452300 127 0 0 1	177.0.0.1	OFMEN	an (ccca) (m)	Desing Former	Town 1	
2120 103.79403205 127.0.0.1	127.0.0.1	CONTIN	01 (CCOI) (NO)	Paging Request	Type a	
2129 103.76340306 127.0.0.1	127.0.0.1	CONTIN	01 (CCCH) (NO)	Paying Request	Type 1	
2130 103.75018900 127.0.0.1	127.0.0.1	GSMTAP	81 (CCCH) (RR)	Paging Request	Type 1	

FIGURE - GSM sniffing with HackRF

# Overview of mobile generations

First generation (1G)

- 1980's
- Analogue
- Voice only
- Technologies : AMPS, NMT, TACS, C-450, Radiocom 2000, RTMI, JTACS, TZ-801, TZ-802, and TZ-803
- Second generation (2G)
  - 1990's
  - Digital signalling,
  - SMS, MMS, voice mail, call forwarding
  - Encryption (A5/1 and A5/2)
  - technologies : GSM, IS-95 (a.k.a. cdmaOne), PDC, iDEN and IS-136 (a.k.a. D-AMPS)
  - 2.5G : GPRS
  - 2.75G : EDGE

## Overview of mobile generations

Third generation (3G)

- 2000's
- Improved crypto (A5/3) and two-way authentication between MS and BS.
- Faster data transfer
- Technologies : W-CDMA (UMTS), TD-SCDMA (only in China), HSPA, and HSPA+, CDMA2000, LTE
- Recently allowed to use the 900 and 1800 Mhz band (same as GSM).

Fourth generation (4G)

- IP based, no more circuit-switched telephone
- Technologies : LTE Advanced and Mobile WiMAX

Results

#### GSM Architecture + Lingo



FIGURE - GSM Architecture

- MS Mobile station
- **BS** Base Station
- BSC Base Station Controller
- MSC Mobile Switching Center
- VLR Visitor Location Register
- HLR Home Location Register
- AUC Authentication Center
- EIR Equipment Identity Register

#### GSM authentication sequence





## **IMSI** catcher



FIGURE - IMSI catcher

## **GSM** Authentication

A5 used to encrypt the data transmission between the MS and BS.

- A5/1 Developed in 1987. Workings kept secret.
  - Reverse engineered in 1999 and published.
  - Can be cracked in seconds using rainbow tables.
- A5/2 Extremely weak, developed for export markets
  - Can be cracked in real-time.
  - Discontinued by the GSM association since 2006.
- A5/3 In use today.
  - Designed for 3G but also used for GSM.
  - Based on the MISTY block cypher which was later simplified into the KASUMI block cypher.

- A faster than an exhaustive search attack has been found but nothing practical.

#### **IMSI** catcher

IMSI International Mobile Subscriber Identity

- Can be used to identify a mobile subscriber.
- The IMSI is send by GSM unencrypted over the air during authentication. This enables tracking.
- Full IMSI catchers (full MITM)
- Half IMSI catchers (outgoing only)
- Both require a spoofed basestation.

## **IMSI** catcher



FIGURE – NSA GSM Tripwire (NSA's ANT Division Catalog)

![](_page_12_Picture_7.jpeg)

#### FIGURE - Stingray I (http://arstechnica.co.uk/)

![](_page_12_Picture_9.jpeg)

FIGURE – IMSI catcher on planes (Brian McGill | The Wall Street Journal)

Introduction	Background	Results	Conclusion
Passive Capturing			

- Possible but all is encrypted
- Some IMSI's may (in theory) be captured when in initial authentication. But nothing that can be practically used.

![](_page_13_Figure_3.jpeg)

FIGURE – GSM Decoding

File I	File Edit View Go Capture Analyze Statistics Telephony Tools Internals Help				
0	0 🛋 📕 🔬 🗎 🤉	( C   Q ( )	🎙 🕇 🛓 🗐 🖬 o o c 🖾 🚆 🕅 🐚 🗶 🎯		
Filter	gsmtap && licmp	• Eq	xpression Clear Apply Save		
No.	Time Source	Destination	Protocol Length Info		
4.	115 185.48/82898 127.8.8.1	151.0.0.1	sommer all (CCH) (RE) reging request type 1		
23	14 103.45933506127.0.0.1	127.0.0.1	GSMTAP 81 (CCCH) (RR) Paging Request Type 1		
23	115 103.46672106127.0.0.1	127.0.0.1	GSMTAP 81 (CCCH) (RR) Paging Request Type 2		
21	16 103.4715040€127.0.0.1	127.0.0.1	GSMTAP 81 (CCCH) (RR) Paging Request Type 1		
23	117 103.52602706127.0.0.1	127.0.0.1	GSNTAP 81 (CCCH) (RR) Paging Request Type 1		
23	18 103.53067806 127.0.0.1	127.0.0.1	GSMTAP 81 (CCCH) (RR) Paging Request Type 1		
21	19 103.58810906127.0.0.1	127.0.0.1	GSNTAP 81 (CCCH) (RR) Poging Request Type 1		
23	20 103.59140906 127.0.0.1	127.0.0.1	GSMTAP 81 (CCCH) (RR) Paging Request Type 1		
23	121 103.59774906 127.0.0.1	127.0.0.1	GSNTAP 81 (CCCH) (RR) System Information Type 4		
21	22 103.65574606 127.0.0.1	127.0.0.1	GSMTAP #1 (CCCH) (RR) Paging Request Type 1		
23	23 103.65824506 127.0.0.1	127.0.0.1	LAPOn 81 U, func+Unknown		
23	124 103.66262006 127.0.0.1	127.0.0.1	GSNTAP 81 (CCCH) (RR) Poging Request Type 1		
21	125 103.66615606127.0.0.1	127.0.0.1	GSMTAP #1 (CCCH) (RR) Paging Request Type 1		
23	26 103.72351106 127.0.0.1	127.0.0.1	OSMTAP 81 (CCCH) (RR) Paging Request Type 1		
23	127 103.72932106127.0.0.1	127.0.0.1	GSNTAP 81 (CCCH) (RR) Poging Request Type 1		
21	28 103.73403206127.0.0.1	127.0.0.1	GSMTAP #1 (CCCH) (RR) Paging Request Type 1		
23	129 103.78548306 127.0.0.1	127.0.0.1	GSNTAP 81 (CCCH) (RR) Paging Request Type 1		
21	10 162 76619966127 6 0 1	127 8 8 1	GENTAR 91 (///W) (99) Project Respect Tune 1		

FIGURE - GSM data in Wireshark

Introduction	Background	Results	Conclusion
Demo			

Introduction	Background	Results	Conclusion
Spoof limitation			

- YateBTS only supports 2.5G GPRS
- OpenBTS-UMTS offers 3G UMTS but requires more expensive hardware (a recent USRP)

🖸 🕴 🖉	🤊 📢 🖄	0 🔶	1 🔿 21:32
(••) Network	Cell Info	L (	b 🔓
PLOT STATS	RAW	MAP	DEVICE+SIM
	Country: Net	herlands (204	
	Operator: Tele	2 NL (16)	SIM state: Rear
Serving			N: 2
LAC: 1520 U	CID: 133924	183 PSC	848
RNC: 204 CI	D: 23139		
RSSI: -99 AS	SU: 7	Pow	er: 125.9fW
Neighbor #1			
LAC:	UCID:	PSC:	84
RNC:			
RSCP: -    4	ASU: 7	Powe	
Neighbor #2			
LAC:	UCID:	PSC:	478
RNC:			
RSCP: - 117	ASU: 4	Powe	
	wilysis.c	com	

The phone will always prefer a higher standard, even if the signal is weak

- 4G LTE-Advanced
- 2 3G UMTS
- 3 2.75G EDGE
- 4 2.5G GPRS <- YateBTS
- 5 2G GSM

Introduction	Background	Results	Conclusion
Jamming			

The HackRF is not suitable for jamming

- Test was conducted inside a Faraday cage.
- Jamming a specific 900Mhz GSM channel was possible, but only for the old 2G Nokia.
- 3G HTC phone disconnects, then recovers when setting up a new call.
- Higher bands (like 1800) are too wide for the HackRF to cover.
- Transmitting at a higher frequency requires more power; HackRF did not have enough to disrupt 2G 1800.
- 3G jamming is even more hopeless due to spread spectrum.

![](_page_16_Picture_8.jpeg)

- Would be nice to test with a real 3G jammer.
- The hypothesis would be that the phone drops down to EDGE instead of GPRS.

## Conclusion and Future work

#### Conclusion

- Passive attacks are not effective due to encryption.
- Active attacks can only be effective versus 2G phones or when using jamming attacks (illegal).
- If, however a phone connects, everything outgoing can be intercepted (Internet, Voice, SMS).

#### Future Work

- Full IMSI Catcher (still relies on a successful spoof)
- Selective jamming\* (jam all but one channel)